



## **SSMU LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL MINUTES**

### **1. Call to Order 18:12**

The speaker calls the meeting to order at **6:12 PM**.

### **2. Land Acknowledgement**

The speaker presents the land acknowledgement.

### **3. Attendance**

The speaker conducts attendance.

### **4. Update on/Approval of last Council's minutes**

President Tojiboeva motions to approve the minutes from last council. Seconded by Councillor Demoulin. Motion passes with 1 abstention and the rest in favour.

### **5. Adoption of the Agenda**

The speaker announces that the presentation from the Office of the Principal and Vice Chancellor needs to be moved to next week.

VP Oke motions to table the Fiat Lux presentation to two councils from now. Seconded by proxy Decunha. Motion passes unanimously.

VP Oke motions to add "The motion on consultation on systemic racism in Montreal" under New Business. Seconded by President Tojiboeva. Motion passes unanimously.

Councillor Lametti motions to have motions C, D, E moved to the funding committee. The Councillor hopes to establish unanimous consent for this. Seconded by Councillor Campbell. Motion passes with 1 opposed and the rest in favour.

President Tojiboeva motions to table the presentation under b. to next council. Motion passes unanimously.



## 6. Guest Speakers

- a. ECOLE Project, Chelsea Kingzett ECOLE Project Co-Coordinator

Chelsea is the ECOLE coordinator. There are two coordinators, but only Chelsea was able to come today. Chelsea hopes this presentation will clear up what ECOLE does.

ECOLE is a collective living house that tries to be a model of urban sustainable living. Chelsea presents the mandate on the screen, which is also available on the ECOLE website. ECOLE is an ongoing experiment that has evolved over the four years that it has been around.

ECOLE offers a lot of events and programming. They have skill share workshops, or discussions organized around potlucks. They also do film screenings. Currently they are doing a zero-waste week challenge and workshops. They also offer free space booking to all student and community groups. They also have started a program of lounge hours where students can come and relax more casually. They also strive to offer alternative education options to students. Students got together with McGill profs to come up with ways to provide alternative education, and ECOLE is what came of it. So, ECOLE is really rooted in alternative education.

Chelsea presents some images of ECOLE. ECOLE has live-in facilitators.

The structure of ECOLE is non-hierarchical, and they practice consensus-based decision making. This can result in really long discussions. There are collective members, coordinators, the board of directors, and live-in facilitators who run the space. Everyone operates through the living, learning, and community-building pillars.

Chelsea presents the 2016-2017 finance report, citing the importance of accountability. There are two fixed categories which are rent subsidies and salaries. Technically ECOLE is a McGill residence under MORE housing. Students pay the MORE housing fee and then receive cheques back to subsidize the cost. There are other categories that vary year-to-year. A lot comes from activities and legal costs. Chelsea is happy to answer any questions.

ECOLE is planning to put their fee levy renewal in the Winter 2018 referendum. They need SSMU's support and this will come up in a future council.

Councillor Dinh says this seems like a very cool initiative. Is there a message that could be sent out to Councillors to distribute to faculty members? Chelsea responds that Chelsea would be happy to provide this, but are unsure of who to send it to.



Proxy Decunha asks how many years the Memorandum of Agreement with McGill is expected to continue for. Chelsea responds that the Memorandum is with SSMU, not with McGill. Proxy Decunha follows up to ask whether it would be useful for the fee levy to be raised even by \$0.50, and whether this would help create meaningful change for ECOLE. Chelsea responds that the budget is doing well so far.

Councillor Campbell asks whether the space booking is only available to those working on sustainable initiatives. Chelsea responds that there is someone whose job is to look at this, and they look at each event to see if it is in line with the mandate. The mandate of “sustainability” is pretty wide, meaning a lot of groups can use the space.

Councillor Savage asks if there is community farming or a bee house involved, or how sustainable living happens in ECOLE. Chelsea responds that workshops occur for education purposes. In terms of sustainability, they have gardens that are run by the facilitators. They also have partnerships with the garden across the street. They also apply anti-oppression and continuously engage with these topics for social sustainability. They also engage in collective cooking and shopping which helps with both social and environmental sustainability. Councillor Savage follows up asking how ECOLE will be involved in composting in the Milton-Parc community in the future. Chelsea responds that they do have partnerships with Milton-Parc, and they could also potentially work to build a composter in the back garden.

Councillor Lametti asks if there is any social or political project involved with the house. Chelsea responds that they do not have a political project, but they keep their space open to groups who want to politically organize. A live-in facilitator who is here with Chelsea adds that it's really about supporting other groups who are working on physical or social sustainability. In many ways sustainability is a political project, but the primary goal is just to advance sustainability.

## **7. Announcements (5)**

VP Herpin announces that certain expenses have come to the VP's attention. In January, SSMU hosted a conference with AVEQ. This costed over \$4,000, mostly spent on hotels. This does not appear to have been discussed in minutes or in council. A member on the ad hoc committee said that this was only briefly discussed. VP Herpin believes that this is a serious financial conflict of interest, and this should influence whether we bring AVEQ or UEQ as a motion to referendum.



Proxy Zhou announces that the AUS First Year Involvement Committee is planning a trip to Quebec City this Saturday, and everyone is welcome.

Councillor Chan announces that the AUS Equity Committee is putting out a survey about how people feel in Arts conferences which can often be an uncomfortable space for people. This is important considering that they count for grades in many cases. The survey can be accessed at [tinyurl.snackandserve](http://tinyurl.snackandserve).

Councillor Savage announces that there was a town hall yesterday for Social Work, and it became clear that over half of Social Work is facing sexual assault. If the school refuses to directly engage before March, then they will be engaging in a walkout.

Councillor Demoulin announces that the IRC is hosting a Café Camus club event for only residence and first year students.

Councillor Lametti motions to extend Announcements by 1 minute. Seconded by Councillor Fodor. Motion passes.

Councillor Syed announces that the DSS is hosting an outreach gala to raise funds for the work they do in small communities.

Councillor Lametti announces that the Medical Students' Society will be hosting the annual meeting of the Canadian Medical Students in the fall. If anyone knows of any interesting speakers, please let Councillor Lametti know.

The speaker extends by 2 minutes.

Councillor Abhu Youssef announces that the Science Undergraduate Society is hosting a gala for the 25<sup>th</sup> anniversary, open to all students.

President Tojiboeva announces that any students graduating this year can apply to be a part of the Senior planning committee.

## **8. Question Period (5)**

Marina from the Daily asks if the VP Finance discussed the issue brought up in announcements with the VP UA before coming to council. VP Herpin responds that these expenses have become clear to them over the past three weeks. The VP confronted the exec in question each time but was met with hostility. During these conversations, the execs did not contradict Herpin in saying that the funding was not approved.



Councillor Decunha asks how exactly the funding that the VP Finance discovered should affect the referendum. VP Herpin responds that this could be a point of pressure that AVEQ could push. This compromises the position of the Execs on the committee which is meant to represent UEQ and AVEQ.

Councillor Savage would appreciate it if VP Herpin did not assume that two members impact the entire council.

Councillor Lametti says that last Council they asked the VP external where the money came from, and they said it came from the Ambassador fund. Where did the money then come from?

VP Oke responds that these are two separate events. Three weeks ago the AVEQ conference took place in Montreal. Last week was Mob Camp, where anyone involved in a student association could come learn about mobilization tactics. That specific event was covered by the Ambassador fund, which is different from the AVEQ conference.

Councillor Koch asks if any events have been attended pertaining to UEQ, or if it has only been AVEQ. VP Oke responds that there has been a lot of thought about this recently. The VP is attending the UEQ conference at the end of February. Not everyone at this table is obviously in favour of AVEQ. But because we are at McGill, not everyone has the time to stay up to date with provincial representation. In a lot of ways, in order to do the work that we want to accomplish, it involves coordinating with other student organizations. Because AVEQ is doing more this year on that subject, that is why we are hearing more. AVEQ has just organized a few more things than UEQ. So it isn't in the spirit of always going where AVEQ is, but instead in the spirit of going where the student organizations are.

Proxy Cressman motions to extend by 5 minutes. Seconded by Proxy Dreszer. Motion passes unanimously.

Proxy Cressman asks why we are spending money on attending these conferences, and where this money comes from. VP Oke responds that the VP Finance has talked about different interactions that have taken place to this point and saw them as confrontational. VP Oke felt these were an open dialogue, and if that wasn't felt on the other side then VP Oke hopes that a conversation can take place about how to change that. In terms of using SSMU credit cards, covering the cost and then invoicing student associations later is how all other associations do it as well. The groups who use hotel rooms, for instance, are invoiced after.



Councillor Yue asks VP Oke to complete the answer, as the speaking time allotted to VP Oke's response has elapsed. VP Oke continues that this was not done maliciously, and the VPs were just taking the steps that are usually taken to host AVEQ. In terms of why SSMU was hosting AVEQ, they brought up with the VP Finance that it isn't out of the ordinary to host AVEQ. The point of hosting it here was to make it more accessible to students on campus to see how AVEQ actually runs. No SSMU members showed up, but VP Oke thinks this reflects more on students' lack of understanding of the importance of provincial representation. VP Oke responds that it was also impossible to find any locations on campus, so promoting the event was difficult. It was only possible to book a location the day before. VP Herpin brought up the idea of conflict of interest a few hours before the meeting. VP Oke is going to motion to block any attempts to put this question back on the ballot until these debts are repaid. In terms of where the money is coming from, SSMU spent \$4,000 but AVEQ is covering the room booking, all of the hotel rooms, and all of the student organizations are being invoiced for their food, so in the end SSMU is only paying for two sandwiches.

VP Lametti asks why they had not thought that providing financial and logistical services to AVEQ would be perceived as a conflict of interest, considering the conversations earlier this year about AVEQ and payroll. Secondly, why does paying back the debts liquidate the perceived conflict of interest? VP Oke responds that in terms of 2015, that was someone being hired. It seems that fronting the costs for a conference and hiring someone are very different. They don't dispute the idea of a conflict of interest. But conflicts of interests have to be declared and then managed. Having one isn't bad. It's just about managing it.

VP Earle motions to extend QP by 5 minutes. Seconded by Councillor Mansdoerfer. Motion passes unanimously.

VP Oke continues to respond that in terms of perceived conflict of interest, the VP is not sure if it is a conflict of interest, or just seeing working with organizations in different ways. People clearly have assumptions about AVEQ, but might be thinking that others also have them. VP Oke would appreciate knowing what the framework is that folks want to think about these issues in. Currently the executives are putting a lot of emphasis on solidarity, which is different from other ways of thinking on issues. People aren't making their opinions clear. VP Oke thinks that it would be in the best interest of this council and society if we could clearly say what we want to see out of it, and it might not be valid to make the assumption that we are coming from the same place. VP Oke thinks there is a disagreement on how to use budgeted money. The VP agrees that there is fault in not having talked about it. They have asked the VP Finance to more clearly outline the VP's expectations. If people think that there is a conflict of interest, VP Oke would be interested in talking about it, and if council truly thinks there is one, then VP Oke has no problem stepping down or stepping away from this portfolio.



Councillor Demir says that as a representative of a faculty that was not in favour of joining AVEQ, it kind of seems like a lot of favouritism going into AVEQ. Councillor Demir wants to know from someone in the ad hoc committee whether there is an equal amount of effort being put into all associations. Councillor Bulger responds that they put in a lot of energy to making sure that representation is very equitable. All the members on the committee have to read pages for the next meeting which will have both AVEQ and UEQ there. There seem to be a lot of people with strong opinions here who are not on the open ad hoc committee, and Councillor Bulger would be interested to know why people didn't join it.

Councillor Savage says that when AVEQ came in, Councillor Savage, Tre, and Andre all criticized AVEQ, and they are all there on the committee, and they are meeting both groups on Monday who will give presentations. They are somewhat upset over what VP Herpin found out, but they also understand how forwarding and getting money back works. They understand how it could slide out, but also think it should have been discussed. The ad hoc committee is just gathering information, but is not even deciding who the SSMU will ultimately be affiliated with.

VP Herpin understands that some of these funds would have been repaid. The VP's issue is that it didn't follow a standard accounting procedure. Whenever funds are given out, there is a possibility that they don't get repaid, and a conversation should have happened before.

Councillor Lametti also sits on the ad hoc committee. The Councillor believes that the members on the committee are doing good, balanced work, including the VP External and VP University Affairs. The problem is not with the committee. Councillor Lametti would like to know whether it wouldn't be reasonable to expect that Executives would not spend money that has not been approved.

Councillor Koch motions to extend by 5 minutes. Seconded. Motion passes unanimously.

VP Oke answers that they agree that it was a mistake not to bring these things forward. It was the last day and they didn't have a place to host this, and the stress caught the better of it. They could still have reached out to other people, so VP Oke does understand what went wrong. VP Oke does remember VP Spencer saying that VP Spencer had bias toward AVEQ. VP Spencer was frustrated with the lack of a bill going through UEQ, so they aren't sure whether it was really bias or just frustration on a single issue.

Councillor Lametti asks if at next council, VP External could explain publicly what was meant by that comment. The speaker explains that the Councillor can send in a formal question.



Proxy Decunha asks the VP Finance again why the funding issue should prevent the SSMU from presenting a question to the membership. Why should this prevent us from moving forward with representative democracy? VP Herpin responds that legislative council should know and discuss this. It does clearly show some kind of SSMU bias. VP Herpin thinks that it would not look good on SSMU to be pushing an affiliation of an association when there is no consent from the members.

VP Earle says that there has been a lot of talk about procedure, but the SSMU did not have a VP Finance. VP Earle can shoulder the blame if the procedure was not followed, but the VP was acting the best way that the VP knew without having a VP Finance. Nothing was done intentionally and the VP apologizes, but was acting with the best knowledge they had in the absence of a VP Finance.

Councillor Savage clarifies that there is no question on yes/no to AVEQ. The question will be “do you want to affiliate with provincial representation?” And then: If yes, AVEQ or UEQ. They don't understand where this bias thing comes from because it is really up to the students.

Councillor Lametti moves to suspend the regulation to add to the agenda a point titled “discussion” with 10 minutes. This would be placed after QP and before New Business. Seconded by Councillor Savage. With 6 opposed and the rest in favour, this motion passes.

## **DISCUSSION**

VP Oke motions for steering committee not to entertain any motion regarding provincial affiliation until AVEQ has paid back its portion of the conference. The VP also motions for some kind of framework to be established to determine whether there was a conflict of interest.

Councillor Lametti agrees that eventually a vote should go through. The members should, however, be publicly informed of a potential conflict of interest because this does influence the decision. As VP Oke said, we should determine whether this was a conflict or not.

VP Herpin asks if VP Oke does not think that this makes the conflict worse, because AVEQ can decide how long they wait to pay back the fees and thus how long it takes before we go to referendum.

Councillor Campbell suggests an amendment to the proposed motion that would also put a deadline on AVEQ paying back money and may or may not be included on the ballot such that whatever the latest date to approve a referendum question, if AVEQ hasn't paid back by then, then they aren't put on the ballot.





Councillor Bharadwa asks if there is no set period for the repayment of money from AVEQ to student associations.

VP Oke responds that AVEQ is their own organization. There isn't a set time, so it depends on general organizations incurring costs and dealing with them.

Councillor Dinh asks if a deadline can just be added.

Councillor Abhu-Youssef asks if we are suggesting that we tell AVEQ to pay by the deadline, or if this is an internal deadline that if they don't repay then we ignore?

Councillor Campbell says their intention is that we would tell AVEQ that they need to pay back by the 21<sup>st</sup> of February, because legislative council has to approve questions before the referendum.

Marina from the Daily asks how likely it is that AVEQ doesn't pay back the money by the deadline. Secondly, if AVEQ doesn't pay the money back, then what would the ballot question look like?

VP Herpin says no prior contract was signed with AVEQ on this matter, so there is no legal obligation for AVEQ to pay SSMU back. The VP also asks council whether asking AVEQ to pay back resolves the issue that we have done more for AVEQ than UEQ. We have put forward some of AVEQ's own personal goals which maintains a conflict of interest.

Councillor Anderson asks if the VP Finance is unaware that it was the mandate of the VP External to pursue AVEQ, which was only changed halfway through the year. Councillor Anderson assumes that AVEQ reached out and UEQ didn't, and wonders whether VP Herpin was aware of this mandate. It's also likely in the best interest of AVEQ to also avoid conflict and avoid not being put on a ballot.

Proxy Decunha motions to extend discussion by 5 minutes. Seconded by Councillor Lametti. With 5 opposed and the rest in favour, this passes.

Councillor Chan also wonders if the VP Finance can answer how the VP Finance feels we should address the issue. We have spent the majority of QP and discussion about how we can resolve this. But every time a solution is put forward, there are problems with it, so maybe the VP can put forward some ideas on how this can be reconciled.



VP Herpin was aware of the mandate of the VP External. They have currently budgeted 0\$ to AVEQ which the VP External should have been aware of. The point of the committee was also to gather information. VP Herpin doesn't think that mandate involved spending money on a conference. Just because other observer schools have hosted conferences should not be used to bypass basic accounting measures. In terms of Councillor Chan's question, a report should be waited on and the question should be re-discussed next council.

President Tojiboeva asks the members of the committee on provincial representation, given that next council is the last council to put something on the ballot, would it be possible to get a full report by next council, or if there is another timeline in mind.

Councillor Lametti says that at the next meeting of the committee, AVEQ will be present, so they can ask AVEQ then. The Councillor also adds that it is a widely known fact that AVEQ has been putting more effort into reaching out. Moving forward, if there was an alleged conflict of interest, this is irrelevant now. Regardless of whether AVEQ pays back, it happened. Councillor Lametti agrees with Councillor Chan that we should now move forward.

Proxy Decunha is disappointed with what the VP Finance has said tonight. It seems that the introduction of this controversy was a calculated political move to stop the society from affiliating with AVEQ. More importantly, leveling these accusations discredits SSMU and continues to perpetuate a myth and prevents the SSMU from acting as an effective organization.

VP Oke motions to extend discussion for 3 minutes. Proxy Dreszer seconds. Motion passes.

VP Oke says that in terms of forwarding money to an organization, and labelling it a conflict of interest, the VP doesn't know what personal gain the VP got from it. There might be a conflation with conflict of interests and checks and balances. A full report from the committee is coming soon and was spoken about in the last meeting. The committee has been talking about having a special referendum period. In terms of potentially not getting paid back, that's always an issue. AVEQ only hosts conferences at different schools' locations, and not paying back would look really bad for them because that's all they do. In terms of budgets not being drawn up, it is done by the previous year's group. The 0 budgeting is more reflective of the VP External not attending events much last year than anything else.

Councillor Mansdoerfer asks if a written motion can be seen. Councillor Mansdoerfer agrees with the potential Feb 21<sup>st</sup> deadline and would like to see it added if possible.

Councillor Fodor asks if there are any methods in place should AVEQ not pay back in a timely fashion.



VP Herpin wants to address comments made by Councillor Decunha. VP Herpin ran on a platform of bringing financial accountability to SSMU. The VP would also like speculations on political motivations to be kept to oneself and not brought to council.

Councillor Abhu Youssef motions to extend the discussion period by 5 minutes. Seconded by Councillor Lametti. Motion fails.

## 9. New Business

### a. Motion Regarding the ECOLE Project Fee Levy Renewal – APPROVED

Councillor Anderson presents the motion.

Councillor Syed asks if this fee is opt-outable. Councillors Anderson responds that it is opt-outable as specified in the question.

Move to vote by placard. Motion passes unanimously.

### b. Motion to de-gender the language of the SSMU – APPROVED

Motion for a 5-minute recess. Seconded. Motion passes unanimously.

Councillor Campbell presents the motion.

Move to vote by placard. With one abstention, the motion passes.

### c. Motion to amend the University Centre Building Fee – TABLED

### d. Motion to amend the SSMU Clubs Fee – TABLED

### e. Motion to amend the Campus Life Fee – TABLED

### f. Motion on Consultation on Systemic Racism in Montreal

Motion to waive the deadline to submit motions by Councillor Dreszer. Seconded. Motion passes.

VP Oke presents the motion.

Councillor Lametti asks who would be sent on SSMU's behalf. VP Oke has asked the Equity Commissioner and was also hoping someone here could be the alternate.



Senator Anderson asks if this \$1,000 was an approved expense. VP Oke does not know where this money would come from and only heard about it an hour ago, and thus urges people to voice their thoughts.

Councillor Lametti asks if the VP Finance could provide info on where the money would come from.

VP Herpin responds that the VP can look into where the money would be coming from. The VP isn't exactly sure right now, but invites the VP UA to discuss this with the VP Finance.

Senator Anderson asks if we could motion to amend to say "up to \$1,000" so that in the interim the SSMU can see how much it really can give.

VP Oke says that there are funds in the VP's own budget that could also be used. VP Oke asks VP Herpin whether this would fit in the requirements for SSMU funding.

VP Herpin can confirm that this could qualify since it is a specific event. It would require an application to the proper fund but the VP doesn't see an issue.

Senator Anderson motions to amend the language to say "up to \$1,000". The Councillor is also unsure if someone can retroactively apply for SSMU funding for an event that is happening so soon. Seconded by VP Earle. The amendment is friendly and adopted.

Move to vote on main motion by placard. The motion passes unanimously.

## **10. Reports by Committees**

### **a. Executive Committee (5)**

President Tojiboeva presents the report.

### **b. Steering Committee (5)**

Councillor Dinh presents the report.

## **11. Councillor Report**

### **a. Councillor Isabella Anderson, Senate Caucus Representative**

Senator Anderson presents the report.



**b. Councillor Aayzed Tanweer, Clubs Representative**

Councillor absent.

**c. Councillor Michelle Bélanger, Music Representative**

Councillor absent.

**12. Executive Reports**

**a. President (3)**

President Tojiboeva presents the report.

**b. VP Finance (3)**

VP Herpin presents the report.

Councillor Dinh asks if there is a timeline on moving cheques online, and if services would be able to access this service too. VP Herpin responds that they are still in very preliminary talks. The VP doesn't want to promise that all requests will be made available online. The main issue is balancing costs, because it would involve subscribing to software, so it's all about balancing the costs and benefits.

Councillor Campbell asks if the added costs to pay for software would be balanced by the costs in processing cheque requests. VP Herpin explains that the costs come from issuing the cheques themselves. Services have problems with the cheques getting lost because they have to be passed around the office through mailboxes. Currently, we are looking at streamlining the process of signing off on them online, and then having the cheque still picked up in the office. The VP is working with the general manager, but ultimately it would be an operational decision taken within the administration of SSMU.

**c. VP University Affairs (3)**

VP Oke presents the report.

Proxy Decunha asks how MobCamp was. VP Oke responds that it was good.



**d. VP External (3)**

VP absent.

**e. VP Internal (3)**

VP Koparkar presents the report.

Councillor Dinh asks who the mentioned employee is replacing. VP Oke responds that they are replacing Mitchel's position.

**f. VP Student Life (3)**

VP Earle presents the report.

Councillor Campbell asks what kind of help is available to find space for clubs to host events. VP Student Life answers that the VP is looking at bringing a motion to amend the use of the club fund to fund space for clubs and services, should they need to meet, from the date the building closes to the date it opens. They can book for free now and don't want to take that away. Additionally, once a building is found to replace this one in the interim, clubs will be notified.

Councillor Campbell asks if faculty student associations have been reached out to see if any of them have any space that they could help out with short-term. VP Earle responds that the VP has reached out to some faculties. For instance, one service is the musician's collective, so they reached out to the Faculty of Music. SSMU is required to find the services new spaces and they typically need specific spaces.

Councillor Bahradwa asks how many clubs have a guaranteed space and how many don't. VP Earle responds that what's happening is that 2075 Robert Bourassa will be taking the clubs and services in the S1 and first floor. The ones on the fourth floor are still waiting on confirmation for the zoning change for 3471 Peel which should be coming hopefully in the next week, which should house the rest of the services that have less specific needs. If the zoning does not happen, they will look into acquiring more space in Robert Bourassa or see if any groups can be put together, etc.

Councillor Bahradwa says that a lot of services were met with in the fall semester and then were later told that the building was no longer on the table. The Councillor asks why that happened. VP Earle responds that VP Earle is also frustrated, and that VP Earle personally thinks that legislative council should be putting a lot of pressure on



McGill. VP Earle met with a lot of services, and McGill has not only promise 3471 peel, but has taken it away, promised it again, and taken it away again. Up until 2 or 3 weeks ago, the zoning change has never been officially put in, because McGill says they would do the zoning change and then next we hear that they don't think it would be approved so they didn't even submit. We have been informed now that they have actually looked at it and the city looks favourably upon changing it from a residence because it is currently a MORE house. They don't have an answer for why mcgill didn't put it in before. But as legislative council or individual faculties, more pressure should be put on McGill and the deputy provost. Because the DPSLL is not being renewed, it seems that he has lost interest in helping the McGill community and it is not acceptable because he still had a mandate to finish and they are literally leaving us out to dry. VP Earle has no words at this point.

Councillor Bahradwa asks what avenues the VP suggests for putting pressure on the DPSLL. VP Earle says that SSMU has been in communication with a lot of people under him, but they should be reaching out to him personally as well. The people under him don't seem to have enough power. Emailing the DPSLL himself or going to his office hours would be the best avenue.

### 13. Confidential Session

### 14. Adjournment 21:18

Councillor Fodor motions to adjourn. Seconded by President Tojiboeva. Motion passes.

Meeting adjourned at 9:18 PM.

---

Muna Tojiboeva, SSMU President

---

Catharina O'Donnell, Recording Secretary