

# REPORT OF THE GOVERNANCE REFORM COMMITTEE

## Objectives:

Overarching game plan is to review the following items:

- 1) \*\*Board
- 2) \*\*Legislative Council
- 3) \*\*Referenda/Elections
- 4) General Assembly
- 5) Judicial Board
- 6) Executive Structures
- 7) General Documentation

The starred items will be things reviewed during this year, the other items will be reviewed by next years SSMU. In reviewing these components, we want to analyze Why these bodies should exist (their functions/goals/power) and what structure would facilitate these functions.

## Board of Directors:

In evaluating the Board of Directors, some of the issues noted includes:

- 1) The Board has historically overextended itself on items it was not mandated to do
- 2) Majority of people are not directly elected to the Board of Directors
- 3) Communication around Board meetings
- 4) Better documentation for the Board
- 5) Frequency of confidential sessions
- 6) General Accessibility for the Board

In defining why the committee believes the Board of Directors should exist, the committee feels that the Board should have the following components:

- 1) Focus on Legal, Financial aspects
- 2) Does not include the political aspects of the society
- 3) Board should approve other aspects of the society when there are not financial or legal implications
- 4) Long term planning should be incorporated with the Board
  - a) Plans including topics like Operations, Space, Funding Fees
- 5) Ensuring that students can directly interact with the Board

The following meetings of the governance reform committee will focus on finalizing what structure the committee recommends for the Board of Directors. Afterwards, the Legislative council purposes/structure will be examined.