

MOTION REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE GOVERNANCE REVIEW COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE 2021-11-04

Submitted for: 2021-11-04

Submitted to:	SSMU Board of Directors	Document no.:	BOD-PUB-MOT-2021-11-04-003	
Moved by:	Sarah Paulin Director	Current Status:	 □ FOR APPROVAL ✓ APPROVED □ POSTPONED 	
Seconded by:	Karla Heseile Cubilla Director		COMMITTED	
lssue	use for a couple of y Documents Researc Coordinator, some new position and a Society have chang	vears. Because of the cher position and the of the duties of the c re no longer valid or	w Committee has failed to provide e dissolvement of the Governing e creation of the Policy and Advocacy committee have been shifted to the needed. As well, the needs of the red and the amendments brought is.	
Background Rationale	in 2018 with the pur However, this comm enough for concrete reference have been committee. It has al include people who documents of the so position of Governin The scope of this co towards Quebec law	The Comprehensive Governance Review Committee committee was created in 2018 with the purpose of alleviating some of the President's workload. However, this committee has had a very broad scope and was not specific enough for concrete work to be accomplished. Therefore, the terms of reference have been edited in order to give more direction to the committee. It has also been significantly decreased in size in order to only include people who have knowledge and interest in the policies and documents of the society. This committee also had to be adapted as the position of Governing Documents Researcher no longer exists. The scope of this committee now looks inwards instead of outwards towards Quebec laws and other student unions, as this work is already done by the Governance Manager and the President. This committee will instead		



> help with keeping up with our policies and ensuring we are staying true to our goals and plans while keeping up with our predetermined layouts for such policies.

Alignment with Mission	This motion will help the committee run more adequately and allow our policies to not only be more transparent, but more consistent and available for the student body.	
Consultations Completed	Consultations were completed with the Governance Manager, Policy and Advocacy Coordinator, and the SSMU Executives.	
Risk Factors and Resource Implications	There are no risk factors associated with this motion.	
Sustainability Considerations	There are no sustainability considerations with this motion.	
Impact of Decision and Next Steps	If the changes to this committee were to be accepted, the changes would automatically be put into place and the recruitment for the committee would begin so it could meet as early as possible.	
Motion or Resolution for Approval	Be it resolved that the Board of Directors approve the changes to the Comprehensive Governance Review committee terms of reference found in Appendix A.	
Results of the Vote	In favour (UNANIMOUS) Opposed () Abstain ()	



Appendix A : Terms of Reference

25. Comprehensive Governance Review Committee Governance Reform Committee

25.1. Oversight

The Comprehensive Governance Reform Review Committee shall be a joint Committee of Legislative Council and the Board of Directors.

25.2. General

The Governance Reform Review Committee shall review the Society's governance bodies, systems and structures with a view to making them reflective of our Mission. The Committee will devise a system that is: (a) in accordance with Quebec and federal law, (b) as democratic and transparent as possible, (c) simple, accessible and easy for students to understand. The Committee will look to other successful systems and adapt elements to our context. The Committee will also aim to root out inconsistencies that exist within our governance practices.

25.3. Responsibilities

The Governance Reform Review Committee shall:

- a. research best practices at other student societies, union, and similar organizations;
- b. consult a wide range of student stakeholders in order to review develop a governance system that best reflects Members students needs, priorities, and realities;
- review current and expiring SSMU policies and plans and propose the renewal of such documents when applicable;
- d. review the entirety of SSMU's governing documents to sort out possible inconsistencies;
- e. simplify and shorten governing documents when possible, based on provided guidelines, and
- f. review create current guides and other resources so as to make SSMU's governance systems more accessible and easier to understand;
- g. work in consultation with SSMU's lawyers;
- h. recommend practices and systems for review in the future; and



i. present a report to Council at least once a semester month and to Board at least once a semester.

25.4. Membership

Governance Reform Review Committee shall consist of:

- a. Policy and Advocacy Coordinator (co-chair, non-voting) the Governing Documents Researcher (Committee Chair, non-voting);
- b. Vice-President Internal Affairs (co-chair, non-voting)
- c. the Speaker of Council, Speaker of Council On-Call, or the Parliamentarian (non-voting);
- d. two (2) members of the Executive Committee, as selected by the Executive Committee Officers of the Society, as selected by the Executive Committee;
- e. two (2) members of the Legislative Council, as selected by the Legislative Council;
- f. one (1) member of Senate Caucus as selected by Senate Caucus;
- g. two (2) member of the Board of Directors as selected by the Board of Directors;
- h. up to four (4) Executives from Services as nominated by the Services;
- i. up to four (4) Executives from Independent Student Groups as nominated by the Independent Student Groups;
- j. up to one (1) member of the Executive or Council of AUS, EUS, MUS and SUS, decided by the respective Councils;
- well as schools with current representatives on the Legislative Council (DSS, EdUS, LSA, MSS, MUSA, POTUS, SWSA) proposed by the faculty associations; and
- l. Additional members can be consulted as needed and would likely include: Equity Commissioners, Indigenous Affairs Commissioner, Sustainability Commissioners, Mental Health Commissioner, and other staff and committee members as needed.

25.5. Meetings

The Comprehensive Governance Reform Review Committee will meet at least once a month during the Academic Year. Each month it will inform itself of:

- a. legal considerations;
- b. Best practices;
- c. McGill's particular context;
- d. Whether the policy follows current models;
- e. Accessibility and feasibility of its recommendations.

25.5.1. When the Committee has questions about legal considerations or wants to arrange stakeholder consultation, it will communicate this to the Steering Committee. Committee meetings should last no longer than 120 minutes.



25.6. Democratic, Popular Engagement

25.6.1. Consultation and Submissions

The Committee will organize ample opportunity for popular engagement both in terms of in-person live forums and anonymous or group online surveys and submissions. The committee will also help promote referendum questions and GA motions.

25.6.2. Popular Subcommittee

Additionally, beyond referring questions to study, the Committee will make questions generally available so that members can organize their own groups and submit proposals for the Committee to consider as it considers recommendations. The Committee will make publicly known the date by which these recommendations must be submitted. The Committee will consider anonymous, as well as attributed, submissions.

25.7. Quorum

25.7.1. Committee

Quorum for the Committee is when any three (3) of its voting or non-voting members are present, including the Committee Chair.

25.8. Recall and Suspension

25.8.1. Recall

The Committee Members' constituency may choose to recall the member by a majority vote at any time. The constituency can then fill the seat according to the process by which it was originally filled.

25.8.2. Suspension

The Committee can vote to suspend a member with a ½ majority. The Committee can set a suspension for a maximum term of three months. The Committee must state the reason for suspension. Legislative Council can overturn Committee suspension with a motion to reinstate passed by simple majority.



25.9. Reporting

The Comprehensive Governance Review Committee will report to the Legislative Council once per semester by a live report. a month for five (5) to ten minutes. The Comprehensive Governance Review Committee will report to the Board of Directors at least once a semester.