Conseil d'administration
Board of Directors

Située sur les territoires traditionnels des collectivités Haudenosaunee et Anishinaabe.
Located on Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabe, traditional territories.

(514) 398-6800 | ssmu.ca | 3501 rue Peel, Montréal, QC, H3A 1W7

AÉUM
SSMU

SSMU BOARD OF DIRECTORS PUBLIC MINUTES

May 19, 2026

The Board of Directors meeting of the Students' Society of McGill University (SSMU) was held by teleconference and in the SSMU Boardroom on Tuesday, May 19 at 18:00.

Present: Susan Aloudat (Director), Keith Babayon (Director), Seraphina Crema Black (Director), Adam Corbier (Director), Rajan Duncan (Director), Simon Ngassam (Director), Hannah Lilles (Director), Dymetri Taylor (Director), Annette Yu (Director), Hamza Abu Alkhair (Officer, non-voting), Minaal Mirza (Officer, non-voting), Simone Brown (Policy and Advocacy Coordinator, non-voting), Joshua Chin (Alumni Representative, non-voting), Lucy Crowther (International Student Representative, non-voting); Melissa Proietti (General Manager, non-voting), Acadia Knickerbocker (Speaker, non-voting), Yasmin Beeai (Deputy Speaker, non-voting), Harry Wang (Parliamentarian, non-voting), Alissa Gharzouzi (Recording Secretary, non-voting), Meghan Lai (Incoming VP University Affairs, Guest), Maggie Tang (Incoming VP Internal Affairs, Guest), Jason Wang (Incoming VP Finance, Guest).

Absent:

1. Call to Order: **18:15**

The Chair calls the meeting to order at 18:15pm.

2. Land Acknowledgement

The SSMU acknowledges that McGill University is situated on the traditional and unceded territory of the Anishinaabeg and Haudenosaunee nations. The SSMU recognizes and respects these nations as the true and constant custodians of the lands and waters on which we meet today. Further, the SSMU commits to and respects the traditional laws and customs of these territories.

L'AÉUM reconnaît que l'Université McGill est située sur le territoire traditionnel et non cédé des nations Anishinaabeg et Haudenosaunee. L'AÉUM reconnaît et respecte ces nations comme les véritables et *constants gardiens des terres et des eaux sur lesquelles nous nous rencontrons aujourd'hui. En outre, l'AÉUM s'engage à respecter les lois et coutumes traditionnelles de ces territoires.*

The Chair gives the land acknowledgments.

3. Attendance

The Chair takes attendance.

4. Adoption of the Agenda – **ADOPTED**

The agenda is distributed.

The Chair asks whether there are any amendments to the agenda.

Seeing none, the agenda is adopted.

5. Minutes for Approval
    a. SSMU Board of Directors Public Minutes 2026-02-26 EMERGENCY MEETING– **APPROVED**

The Chair asks if any are opposed to approving the minutes.

Seeing none, the minutes are approved.

    b. SSMU Board of Directors Public Minutes 2026-03-19– **POSTPONED**
    c. SSMU Board of Directors Public Minutes 2026-04-21– **POSTPONED**
    d. Legislative Council Public Minutes 2026-03-26 -**APPROVED**

The Chair asks if any are opposed to approving the minutes.

Seeing none, the minutes are approved.

6. Legislative Council Motions not Disallowed
    a. Motion to Approve the 2026-2027 Governance Meetings Calendar (President Taylor, Councilor Lai) - **APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY**

7. Announcements
    a. Resignation of Director Léger (Speaker Knickerbocker)

The Chair notes that Director Léger resigned earlier this month. The Chair states that President Taylor is currently serving as Vice-President Finance and that Jason Wang will assume the position starting in June.

    b. Resignation of Director Lilles (Speaker Knickerbocker)

The Chair notes that Director Lilles resigned earlier that day and that her position on the Board is currently vacant.

    c. Welcome to Lucy Crowther, International Student Representative (Parliamentarian Wang)

The Chair welcomes International Student Representative Crowther and invites her to introduce herself.

International Student Representative Crowther states that she is a second-year student in Political Science and International Development, originally from the United Kingdom, and is studying at McGill. She expresses that she is pleased to meet everyone and is excited to work with the Board this year.

    d. Thank you and goodbye to departing Directors Aloudat, Crema Black, Corbier, Taylor & Yu and Officer Mirza (Speaker Knickerbocker)

The Chair recognizes Director Aloudat, Director Corbier, Director Taylor, Director Yu, and Officer Mirza, noting that they will be leaving after the meeting. The Chair thanks them for the time they dedicated to the Board of Directors, their consistent attendance, and their contributions to Board discussions. The Chair also notes the potential return of Director Crema Black for another few months.

    e. Thank you to departing Secretariat members (Director Taylor)

Director Taylor thanks the Secretariat for the work completed over the past year and thanks members in advance for the work they will undertake in the coming year.

    f. Legislative Council's decision to name Meghan Lai and Harry Wang as the two remaining Officer representative Directors starting June 1, 2026 (Director Taylor)

Director Taylor states that Legislative Council considered a motion and decided to elect both Meghan Lai and Harry Wang as Officer Representative Directors.

The Chair explains that, ordinarily, Legislative Council selects the officers who will serve as Directors on the Board in the fall, when Legislative Council is in session. However, due to the number of Directors needed for the Board to remain functional over the summer, and the number of Directors available, a motion was sent to the current Legislative Council to appoint the Directors ahead of time. The Chair states that the motion was approved by email motion since the last meeting.

8. Motions for Approval
    a. Motion to approve a revision to item 3000 of the 2026-2027 Operating Budget, Student Fee, from ($3,300,000) to ($3,400,000), on account of actuals from the University and continued maintenance of the Society's membership at beyond 25,000 students, bringing the grand total of the operating budget from a surplus of ($15,056.49) to ($115,056.49) (Director Taylor)- **APPROVED**

Director Taylor presents Motion 8a, explaining that the motion seeks to revise the budget, specifically item 3000 concerning incoming student fees, by increasing the budgeted amount from $3.3 million to $3.4 million. The motion responds to previous budgeting practices, which have treated student fee revenue in a manner similar to budgeting in a for-profit corporation, where incoming revenue may be less predictable. This approach is less applicable to the Student Society, as the number of students paying fees is known with greater certainty and is not expected to significantly decline within the relevant period. McGill's SSMU membership was approximately 25,000 students as of the previous winter term and has remained around that number in the fall, with the same level anticipated moving forward. A major decline, such as approximately 1,000 fewer students attending McGill, would also create significant difficulties for the University's own budget. The current $3.3 million figure appears to be based on approximately 23,000 students, whereas the actual membership is closer to 25,000 students. The proposed amendment therefore adjusts the budgeted figure to better reflect current membership numbers. The motion also responds to a recurring issue in which SSMU does not carry over its funds year to year in an appropriate or well-placed manner. The previous year's audit showed a total surplus of approximately $500,000, with approximately $100,000 to $200,000 attributable to the operating budget alone. The operating budget had been set at approximately $3.2 million per year, resulting in funds coming in but not being properly moved or used by SSMU. This creates a waste of student money, as students pay significant fees to SSMU but the funds may remain unused in a bank account rather than being directed toward the benefit of students. The proposed amendment is intended to ensure that student money is used correctly.

The Chair opens the floor to questions.

Director Aloudat asks whether the $3.3 million figure corresponds to 23,000 students and whether the $3.4 million figure corresponds to 25,000 students.

Director Taylor clarifies that $3.3 million would correspond to approximately 23,000 students, while $3.4 million would correspond to approximately 24,000 students. Since 24,000 students would generate approximately $3.48 million, the revised amount remains somewhat precautionary rather than reflecting the full projected amount. He notes that SSMU is not expected to fall below 24,000 students.

Director Aloudat asks whether $3.4 million is therefore a conservative estimate. She asks, assuming 24,000 students do not opt out, how many students are usually expected to opt out of the operating fee each year.

Director Taylor responds that the number is usually a few hundred, noting that some students also withdraw from university after beginning their studies.

The Chair asks whether there are any further questions regarding the motion. Seeing none, the Chair opens the floor to discussion.

Director Aloudat asks whether, once the item is adjusted and the budget reflects a $115,000 surplus, there is any intended use for that surplus or whether that decision will be left to the next Board.

Director Taylor responds that the decision will remain open for the executives and the General Manager to determine where the funds can be used. The revised budget would allow the Society to consider how funds may be allocated, including through the building fee, which can be directed toward operations for both buildings, including staff salaries. Funds currently allocated toward salaries or the building fee could therefore be redirected to other parts of the budget. The revised amount leaves room for the incoming team to develop a revised budget.

The Chair asks whether there is any further discussion on the floor.

Seeing none, the Chair moves into voting procedure.

The Chair asks whether any are opposed to passing Motion 8a by unanimous consent.

Seeing none, Motion 8a is passed unanimously.

b. Motion to appoint Seraphina Crema Black as Board Member-at-Large to replace the position vacated by Director Lilles, starting June 1, 2026, until September 1, 2026 (Director Taylor) - **APPROVED**

The Chair invites Director Crema Black to recuse herself for the discussion of the motion.

Director Crema Black excuses herself.

Director Taylor presents Motion 8b, explaining that the Constitution requires the Board to have seven members. If the Board falls below seven members, it is not permitted to meet; however, the Board must also be able to appoint additional members. This creates an issue where the Board may become inoperable if membership falls below the required number. A similar issue arose during the summer, when the Board had to ensure that it remained at seven members and did not fall to six. The motion is intended to address this issue by appointing an additional member. The appointment period would technically last until the end of the previous member's term, which would be November 14, 2026. However, Director Crema Black is also expected to become a member of Legislative Council. Once Legislative Council begins meeting, she would no longer be eligible to serve as a potential Board member. As a result, her term would effectively end by September 1.

The Chair opens the floor to questions.

Director Aloudat asks why councillors do not count as Members-at-Large.

Director Taylor explains that councillors have an explicit membership category.

Director Aloudat asks whether Director Taylor would be amenable to adding an end date to the motion for clarity.

Director Taylor states that the end date would be September 1, 2026.

The Chair confirms that this is in order and that it is a friendly amendment. The motion is amended accordingly.

The Chair asks whether there are any further questions regarding the motion.

Director Aloudat asks how many Board members there would be in the absence of this Member-at-Large.

Située sur les territoires traditionnels des collectivités Haudenosaunee et Anishinaabe.
Located on Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabe, traditional territories.
(514) 398-6800 | ssmu.ca | 3501 rue Peel, Montréal, QC, H3A 1W7

AÉUM SSMU

Director Taylor responds that there would be seven.

Director Aloudat asks who those members are.

Director Taylor states that, along withthe executives, there is Director Babayon, as well as Director Duncan.

Director Ngassam asks whether Council Directors remain Directors until the end of Legislative Council.

Director Taylor responds that Council Directors' terms end when they cease to be councillors.

The Chair asks whether there are any further questions regarding Motion 8b.

Director Aloudat asks whether Director Babayon's term would end.

Director Taylor moves to suspend the rules to add a motion appointing Keith Babayon as Board Member-at-Large.

The Chair confirms that the motion is in order and asks whether there are any objections to adding a motion to suspend the rules.

Seeing none, the Chair asks whether there are any objections to suspending the rules.

Seeing none, the rules are suspended.

The Chair asks whether there is a seconder for the motion.

Director Ngassam seconds.

The Chair states that the motion has been added and returns to discussion of Motion 8b.

The Chair asks whether there are any further questions regarding Motion 8b.

Director Taylor notes that, in the future, the incoming executive team should speak with incoming councillors in advance and, where appropriate, bring forward motions to appoint them so that Board membership can be maintained.

The Chair asks whether there are any further questions regarding the motion.

Seeing none, the Chair opens the floor to discussion.

Seeing no discussion, the Chair moves into voting procedure and asks whether there are any objections to passing Motion 8b by unanimous consent.

Seeing none, Motion 8b passes unanimously.

Director Crema Black is welcomed back into the room.

c. Motion to appoint Keith Baybayon as Board Member-at-Large starting June 1, 2026, until September 30, 2026 (Director Taylor) - **APPROVED**

The Chair invites Director Baybayon to recuse himself for the discussion of the item. Director Baybayon recuses himself.

Director Taylor presents Motion 8c, noting that the rationale is the same as the previous motion.

The Chair opens the floor to questions.

Director Corbier asks whether Director Baybayon has been consulted, noting that the motion was added during the meeting and that Director Baybayon had not spoken in favour of or against it while present in the room.

Director Taylor states that the assumption was that Director Baybayon would continue serving until September 1, 2026, and that he would likely speak up if he did not wish to serve.

Director Ngassam asks whether this appointment would also end on September 1, 2026, and whether Legislative Council would have enough time to appoint new members before the Board falls back to six members. He suggests that the term should perhaps extend to September 30, 2026.

Director Taylor moves to amend the motion to set the end date as September 30, 2026.

Director Aloudat raises a concern that Director Baybayon had not been explicitly consulted and asks whether the motion could include wording indicating that the appointment is subject to his willingness to serve.

The Chair asks whether Director Taylor would be amenable to inviting Director Baybayon back into the room briefly for consultation.

(514) 398-6800 | ssmu.ca | 3501 rue Peel, Montréal, QC, H3A 1W7

Director Taylor agrees.

Director Baybayon is invited back into the room.

The Chair explains that the Board is still in the question period for the motion and that members requested he be consulted as to whether he would be willing to serve on the Board of Directors until September 30, 2026.

Director Baybayon confirms that he would be willing to serve.

Director Baybayon recuses himself again.

The Chair asks whether there are any further questions regarding the motion.

Seeing none, the Chair opens the floor to discussion.

Seeing no discussion, the Chair asks whether there are any objections to passing Motion 8c by unanimous consent.

Seeing none, Motion 8c passes unanimously.

Director Baybayon is welcomed back.

d. Motion to put the nomination and selection process of the Vice-President (Finance) to the Governance Review Committee to draft a recommendation for its improvement, for the Legislative Council's endorsement and the Board's approval by December 1st, 2026 (Director Taylor)-**APPROVED**

Following Discussion Point 9a, Director Taylor presents Motion 8d, noting that the rationale remains the same as previously stated.

The Chair asks whether there are any questions regarding the motion. Seeing none, the Chair opens the floor to discussion.

Seeing no discussion, the Chair moves into voting procedure and asks whether there are any objections to passing Motion 8d by unanimous consent.

Seeing none, Motion 8d passes unanimously.

9. For Discussion
    a. Nomination Committee process updates and potential changes to VP Finance hiring process (Parliamentarian Wang)
        i. What is the best way to conduct interviews with the most consultation but the least amount of bias as possible (permanent staff involvement? What does the interview process look like?)
            1. **ICCS Recommendation: Task force with Governance team and directors**
        ii. Notes from NomCom MAL
            1. Conflicts from BoD → BoD members are sitting on BoD and NomComm which could be a conflict of interest
            2. Consider a different grading process
                a. Current: respond to application and NomComm conducts interview → Create a committee to do the grading
        iii. Disconnect between the original motion that students voted on allowing VPF to be appointed and the process that was followed during selection

Parliamentarian Wang presents Discussion Point 9a, including items i, ii, and iii. Following the most recent hiring cycle, he and the Internal Counsel met to discuss how the process unfolded. They agreed that aspects of the process should be changed, as there are several ambiguities in the current process for hiring the Vice-President Finance. The Committee Terms of Reference outline how the Nominating Committee should conduct hiring, but several matters are not addressed. For example, questions arose during the hiring cycle about whether a candidate who had already completed an interview would have an advantage or disadvantage. It was agreed by the Parliamentarian, Internal Counsel, and the Nominating Committee Members-at-Large that changes are needed, particularly with respect to the interview process. The discussion point asks the Board to consider potential changes to the process. Internal Counsel suggests that a motion could be brought to form a task force composed of the Parliamentarian, the incoming Parliamentarian, and any interested Directors. The task force would work through the relevant details and develop recommendations. Several questions are raised for the Board to consider, including how to conduct interviews with the greatest amount of consultation while minimizing bias, whether permanent staff should be involved in committee interview and selection processes, and what the interview process should look like more generally. Concerns raised by a Nominating Committee Member-at-Large are also noted regarding potential conflicts of interest, particularly where Board Directors sit on both the Nominating Committee and the Board. The concern is that Directors who serve as voting members in both contexts may be in a conflict of interest. A different grading process may also be considered. Currently, interviews are conducted based on candidate availability, with the Parliamentarian and one Member-at-Large conducting the interview,

(514) 398-6800 | ssmu.ca | 3501 rue Peel, Montréal, QC, H3A 1W7

meaning that interviews may be conducted by different individuals. One possible reform would be to formalize the process by appointing a committee that meets collectively to conduct grading. These ideas are brought forward for discussion, with Internal Counsel recommending the creation of a task force involving Directors and governance members to work through the issues and eventually bring forward a motion.

The Chair opens the floor to discussion.

Director Taylor raises a concern regarding where the conversation should take place and where the working group should originate. Existing committees are already responsible for this type of review, including the Nominating Committee, which can review its own terms of reference, and the Governance Review Committee, whose purpose is to review governance processes. The Governance Review Committee already includes representation from Directors, Officers, Members-at-Large, and Legislative Councillors. All of these voices should be included in the conversation, rather than limiting the discussion to Directors. He would prefer the matter be referred to that committee to conduct the work and any consultations it deems necessary, so that the process for selecting a position of such significance is not determined by the same voices alone.

Director Aloudat addresses the question of permanent staff involvement. She does not think permanent staff involvement is necessarily appropriate, as the position is meant to represent students. While the referendum motion made the role appointed so that candidates could be selected based on their ability to fulfill the responsibilities of Vice-President Finance, this does not necessarily extend to requiring endorsement from permanent staff. The Board should be able to assess whether candidates meet the necessary threshold, while also giving broader consideration to their ability to represent the student body. Assessing student representation is not the role of permanent staff and she does not think permanent staff should be involved. On the question of potential conflicts of interest between Board members serving on the Nominating Committee and voting at the Board, she asks for clarification on the nature of the concern. She asks whether the concern is that Board members influenced Nominating Committee deliberations, spoke over others, or pushed for a specific result, or whether the concern is that their vote at the Board may interfere with the Nominating Committee's recommendation. In her view, having Board members on the Nominating Committee can reinforce the decision ultimately brought to the Board, since those members participated in the process and either reached consensus or agreed with the recommendation to some extent. She asks what the specific concern was in that respect.

Parliamentarian Wang explains that the concern relates to the purpose and role of the Nominating Committee. Members-at-Large on the committee noted that there is some uncertainty about whether the committee should function as a more independent body that provides recommendations to the Board, with the Board making the final decision, or whether it should function more as a vetting and interview body. Concern was also raised that, because Board members sit on the Nominating Committee, the same group of people may effectively be involved at both stages of the process. This concern is heightened when one or two Members-at-Large are absent, as the committee may then be composed largely of Board members. The Board may mandate the committee to carry out these responsibilities, but further clarification would be helpful regarding where the Nominating Committee fits within the overall process and how its role should be understood. The question is whether the committee should operate more like an independent body, such as the Judicial Board, or whether it should primarily conduct vetting and interviews before bringing recommendations forward.

Director Taylor states that the issue is not necessarily who sits on the Nominating Committee, but how the committee functions internally. The composition of the committee may create some bias and could be reviewed, but the broader concern is whether the committee is able to carry out its role properly. A committee may have fewer than half of its members drawn from the Board, but that does not resolve the issue if the process itself does not function as intended. The concern is that the committee presented its recommendation without clearly basing the information on the scoring tools, and instead appeared to rely more on general impressions. The composition of the committee should therefore be reviewed more generally, including whether it should be more balanced and include more Members-at-Large and fewer Directors. One possible structure could involve equal representation, such as two members from each relevant category, or another structure deemed appropriate. However, the issue should not be framed as the fault of one category of members over another. The larger concern is that the process itself did not work as intended or as preferred.

General Manager Proietti clarifies the role of staff involvement in the process. Staff are not intended to select the students who will represent other students; however, full-time staff hold institutional knowledge that can provide valuable perspective when decisions are being made about these kinds of roles. There is also confusion around roles, responsibilities, and where information is shared. Staff involvement earlier in an interview process, working group, or selection committee would therefore serve an informational and clarifying purpose, rather than allowing staff to determine which candidate would best suit staff needs. If staff involvement is included in the process, that distinction should be clearly outlined. Staff input remains valuable, particularly for a position such as Vice-President Finance.

Director Aloudat states that the clarification regarding staff involvement makes sense, but notes that there is already ongoing confusion about roles in these processes, particularly within committees. The Nominating Committee itself does not appear to fully understand how it fits into the overall selection process, and adding more participants may create further uncertainty about where different forms of input belong. This does not mean that permanent staff should be fully excluded or that the Board should not benefit from their institutional knowledge. However, if staff are included, the process must be structured intentionally to ensure that staff participation does not override student input within committees or the broader selection process. The student representation purpose of executive positions should remain central. There is a risk that this purpose could be weakened if the selection process is not carefully structured, particularly for appointed executive positions. Any staff involvement should therefore be clearly defined and limited in a way that preserves the student representative nature of the role. Any task force or similar body created to review the process should return to the original motion approved by students, which allowed the Vice-President Finance to be appointed rather than elected. The interview questions and overall selection process should be more closely aligned with the purpose and wording of that motion. There appears to be some distance between the original intent of the motion and the process that was ultimately used. While some adjustment is expected when implementing a new process, the appointment process for this position should be reimagined rather than simply following the usual approach for nominations. That reimagining should be done in close proximity to the original motion, with clear links between the motion and each part of the appointment process. This would help anchor the purpose and structure of the process more clearly.

Director Taylor moves to suspend the rules to add a motion referring the Vice-President Finance nomination and selection process to the Governance Review Committee. The motion directs the committee to draft recommendations for improving the process, to be brought to Legislative Council for announcement and to the Board for approval by December 1, 2026. The rationale for bringing the recommendations to Legislative Council is that, while the process remains within the Board's prerogative, Legislative Council should at minimum be informed.

The Chair confirms that the motion is in order and asks whether there is a seconder for the motion to suspend the rules.

Director Ngassam seconds.

The Chair asks whether there are any objections to suspending the rules to add the motion.

Seeing none, the rules are suspended.

The Chair asks whether there is a seconder for the motion itself.

Director Ngassam seconds.

The Chair returns to discussion on Discussion Point 9a.

Seeing no further speakers, Discussion Point 9a is concluded.

b. Updates on Board Committees (Deputy Speaker Beeai)

Deputy Speaker Beeai presents Discussion Point 9b and reintroduces her role for new and incoming Directors. She explains that her role was reworked in January to provide greater oversight and support for committees, including both Legislative Council committees and Board committees. This includes tracking committees, ensuring that Directors are aware of their committee assignments, reviewing committee terms of reference, and ensuring that committee-related work is maintained throughout the year. This follows issues that arose in previous years regarding committee oversight and continuity. Consultations have been conducted with current Board members, and consultations will also be held with incoming Directors. She has also been working with the Policy and Advocacy Coordinator on a substantial draft amendment to the committee terms of reference. The proposed amendments are expected to include changes to the Nominating Committee process and its terms of reference, with the goal of aligning expectations with what is realistically possible for the committee and addressing issues that have been raised. The discussion point is brought forward to ensure that the Board is aware of the ongoing project, which will continue over the summer. A second round of consultations may also take place. The current proposed changes include basic corrections to inaccuracies or discrepancies in the committee terms of reference. Some inactive committees may be dissolved, some committees may be merged, and some committee chair assignments may be changed. These details will be included when the motion is brought forward. Additional changes may address concerns raised by individuals who have run committees in the past. For the Nominating Committee, this may include clarifying the term period for Members-at-Large to support continuity and avoid the need for similar motions in the future. The amendments may also establish expectations for how committee meetings should be conducted, particularly where concerns have been raised about lack of participation, online meetings where cameras are off, or limited engagement from committee members. The purpose of committees is to gather different perspectives and ensure meaningful participation from members who are willing to contribute. There may also be a need to account for outstanding working groups, particularly where they are periodic rather than year-round. Other proposed changes are expected to be minor. The Deputy Speaker invites Directors to raise any broader concerns based on their committee experiences over the past year or in previous years, particularly matters that may be worth revisiting or discussing as part of the terms of reference review.

(514) 398-6800 | ssmu.ca | 3501 rue Peel, Montréal, QC, H3A 1W7

The Chair opens the floor to discussion.

Director Taylor notes that the Committee Terms of Reference have been removed from the website and will likely need to be sent out.

Deputy Speaker Beeai acknowledges the comment.

The Chair asks whether there is any further discussion on the floor.

Seeing none, Discussion Point 9b is concluded.

10. Confidential Session:
    a. There is a Confidential Session this evening

The Chair states that the Chair would look favourably upon a motion to invite the incoming Vice-Presidents, particularly Meghan Lai, Maggie Tang, and Jason Wang, to the confidential session, noting that they have already signed and documented non-disclosure agreements.

Director Crema Black moves to invite the incoming Vice-Presidents to the confidential session.

The Chair confirms the motion and notes that it is seconded by Director Corbier.

The Chair asks whether there are any objections to inviting the incoming Vice-Presidents to the confidential session.

Seeing none, the incoming Vice-Presidents are invited.

The meeting moves into confidential session.

11. Adjournment: **19:40**

The Chair asks whether there are any outstanding items of business for the public session.

Director Crema Black moves to adjourn.

The Chair confirms that the motion is in order and asks whether there is a seconder.

Director Taylor seconds.

Située sur les territoires traditionnels des collectivités Haudenosaunee et Anishinaabe.
Located on Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabe, traditional territories.
(514) 398-6800 | ssmu.ca | 3501 rue Peel, Montréal, QC, H3A 1W7

The Chair asks whether there are any objections to the motion to adjourn.

Seeing none, the public session is adjourned at 19:40.